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## Objective: image processing



Figure: Image restoration



Figure: Image enhancement

## Curve completion in 2D



## Curve completion in 2D

- $\gamma_{0}:[a, b] \cup[c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ a smooth curve that is partially hidden in the interval $t \in(b, c)$.
- We want to find a curve $\gamma:[b, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ that completes $\gamma_{0}$ while minimizing a cost $\mathrm{J}[\gamma]$.
- Constraints on position: $\gamma(b)=\gamma_{0}(b), \gamma(c)=\gamma_{0}(c)$

■ Constraints on orientation:

- $\dot{\gamma}(b) \sim \dot{\gamma}_{0}(b), \dot{\gamma}(c) \sim \dot{\gamma}_{0}(c)$ or
$\square \dot{\gamma}(b) \approx \dot{\gamma}_{0}(b), \dot{\gamma}(c) \approx \dot{\gamma}_{0}(c)$
- $J_{\beta}[\gamma]=\int_{b}^{c} \sqrt{\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^{2}+\beta\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^{2} K_{\gamma}^{2}(t)} d t$


## The visual cortex V1

- The visual cortex V1 is the main region of a mammal's brain for processing vision.
- Composed of simple cells that are sensitive to position and orientation.
■ Simple cells that receive enough stimulus from an external source spike.
- Simple cells that spike stimulate other simple cells with same position but different orientation, and simple cells with same orientation and close position.


## The visual cortex V1



Figure: Hypercolumns of the Visual Cortex V1 under a stimulus (red curve)

## The visual cortex V1

$$
\operatorname{SE}(2)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & x \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]} & \begin{array}{c}
x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\theta \in \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Using coordinates $(x, y, \theta)$ we see that $S E(2)$ as a space can be identified with the 3-dimensional cylinder $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{1}=\cos (\theta) \partial_{x}+\sin (\theta) \partial_{y}, \quad X_{2}=\partial_{\theta}, \quad X_{3}=-\sin (\theta) \partial_{x}+\cos (\theta) \partial_{y} \\
{\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=-X_{3}, \quad\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right]=X_{1}, \quad\left[X_{1}, X_{3}\right]=0 .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{span}\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}\right\}$ be the horizontal distribution, then $\operatorname{SE}(2)$ endowed with $\mathcal{H}$ is a bracket-generating sub-Riemannian manifold

## Lift of curve from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to $S E(2) / \sim$

Consider $\operatorname{SE}(2) / \sim$, where $(x, y, \theta) \sim(x, y, \theta+\pi)$.
A curve is lifted (by $\mathcal{L}$ ) from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to $S E(2) / \sim$ by adding a coordinate $\theta \in[0, \pi)$ corresponding to the angle between the orientation of the curve and the horizontal axis $y=0$, measured counterclockwise.

A lifted curve is projected (by $\Pi$ ) to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ simply by suppressing the third coordinate corresponding to orientation.

## Curve completion in $S E(2) / \sim$

Completing a curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is equivalent to finding a minimizer in $\operatorname{SE}(2) / \sim$, where $(x, y, \theta) \sim(x, y, \theta+\pi)$.

## Proposition (Boscain, Charlot, Rossi - 2010)

For all boundary conditions $\gamma_{0}(b), \gamma_{0}(c) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\gamma_{0}(b) \neq \gamma_{0}(c)$ and $\dot{\gamma}_{0}(b), \dot{\gamma}_{0}(c) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$ the cost $J_{\beta}[\gamma]$ admits a minimizer over the set

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\gamma \in C^{2}\left([b, c], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) & \begin{array}{l}
\|\dot{\gamma}\|^{2}+\|\dot{\gamma}\|^{2} K_{\nu}^{2} \in L^{1}([b, c], \mathbb{R}) \\
\gamma(b)=\gamma_{0}(b), \gamma(c)=\gamma_{0}(c) \\
\dot{\gamma}(b) \approx \dot{\gamma}_{0}(b), \dot{\gamma}(c) \approx \dot{\gamma}_{0}(c)
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Lift of an image





## Beyond curve completion: image diffusion

■ Not working with a single curve but with many level curves.
■ Consider all possible admissible paths and model the controls by independent Wiener processes $u_{t}$ and $v_{t}$ obtaining the following SDE:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
d x_{t} \\
d y_{t} \\
d \theta_{t}
\end{array}\right)=\sqrt{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \theta_{t} \\
\sin \theta_{t} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \circ d u_{t}+\sqrt{2 \beta}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \circ d v_{t}
$$

■ The diffusion process associated to such SDE is

$$
\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\Delta \psi
$$

where

$$
\Delta=X_{1}^{2}+\beta X_{2}^{2}=\left(\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial_{x}}+\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^{2}+\beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}
$$

## The Citti-Sarti-Boscain algorithm

1 Smooth the image by convolution with a Gaussian kernel (guarantees the image is generically a Morse function)

2 Lift $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S E(2)$
3 Solve the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{\beta}=X_{1}^{2}+\beta X_{2}^{2} \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u=\Delta_{\beta} u \\
u(0, x, y, \theta)=\tilde{I}(x, y, \theta)
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

over $S E(2)$
4 Project $S E(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$

## Some examples


(a) Original image
(b) $T=21$ with $\beta=0.1$

(c) $T=21$ with $\beta=1$

(d) $T=21, \beta=10$

Figure: An image (a) is processed with the Citti-Sarti-Boscain algorithm for different values $\beta$ (b,c,d)

## Problem: no code available

Due to drastic and unfortunate events (allegedly), including but not limited to

- the laptop of the researcher that had the code was stolen

■ the backup code was lost in a terrible fire
no code from the first paper survived to this day.

A codebase for the Boscain et al. was provided by Francesco Rossi: MATLAB implementation.

However some work was needed to replicate the results from the papers.

## Public repository



```
    Smport numpy as np
    inport jax. numpy as jnp
    inport matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    inport sys
    1nport sys
    syy, path.appond, 隹, vidiftusion impert utils, transforms,operators, evolution, processing, metrics, examples
In. [2]: }x\mathrm{ -mp.1inspace(0,255,256)
    y=np.11nspace(0,255,25
    X,Y = np.reshgrid(x,y)
    R}=\textrm{CN2,
    R=1-R
    x,Y = np,neshgrid(x,y)
    V=(X-128)**2+(Y-128)**2
    R[V>150e0] -a.7 7, m
    R mh - cvz.Gavssianglur/R,15,151,0.6
In [4]: R_p - R.copy()
    y=np.1inspace(0,255,256)
    *)
    R-ph - cv2.GaussianBlur(R_D, (15,15),0.6)
    utils.imshow(R.h)
```


optim. 50.3 (Jan. 2012), pp. 1309-1336.
[3]: U Boscain et al. "Hypoelliptic diffusion and human vision: A semidiscrete new twist". In: SIAM ]. Imaging
Sci. 7.2 (Jan. 2014). pp. 669-695.

## Gaussian lift

In the work by Marcelja and Jones and Palmer the similarity in behavior between simple cells and Gabor filters is studied and presented. The output of a signal through the filter decays exponentially as the angle of the original signal differs from $\theta$.
We can model each fiber as a normal distribution around the angle of the level curve, effectively "spreading" the input signal around the orientation of maximum response $\theta$ of the simple cells following a Gaussian distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{\sigma}(I)=(I \circ \Pi) \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{\left(X_{1}(I \circ \Pi)\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}|\nabla I|^{2}}\right)= \\
& =(I \circ \Pi) \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{|\nabla I|^{2}-\left(X_{3}(I \circ \Pi)\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}|\nabla I|^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gaussian lift

## Theorem

Define an operator $\Pi_{\sigma}: C^{\infty}(\operatorname{SE}(2),(0,1]) \rightarrow C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2},(0,1]\right)$ by

$$
\Pi_{\sigma}(\tilde{l})(x, y)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{4 \sigma}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \ln \tilde{I}(x, y, \theta) d \theta\right) .
$$

## Then $\Pi_{\sigma}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}(I)\right)=I$.

Moreover, the original lift can be considered as a limiting case when $\sigma \rightarrow 0$.

## How to deal with blur: unsharp filtering

$$
I \mapsto I+C\left(I-I * G_{\sigma}\right)
$$


(a) Original image
(b) Blurred image with $\sigma=5$

(c) Negative of the blurred image

(d) Sharpened image with $C=1$

Figure: Example of usage of the unsharp filter applied to a low-contrast image of the surface of the moon

## Vector fields $X_{1}, X_{2}$, and $X_{3}$


(a) Projections to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ of the integral lines

(b) Integral lines of $X_{1}^{2}+\frac{2}{2}$

(c) Integral lines of $X_{3}^{2}+{ }_{2}^{2}$

Figure: Integral lines of the vector fields $X_{1}^{2}+\beta X_{2}^{2}$ (red) and $X_{3}^{2}+\beta X_{2}^{2}$ (green) for a polynomial curve, at point $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, varying the coefficient $\beta$.

## Sketch of the idea



Figure: Sketch of intuition behind WaxOn-WaxOff

## Unsharp filtering on $S E(2) / \sim$

The undesired blurring is obtained from the Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u=\Delta_{\beta} u, \\
u(0, x, y, \theta)=\tilde{l}(x, y, \theta)
\end{array} \quad \Delta_{\beta}=X_{3}^{2}+\beta X_{2}^{2}\right.
$$


(a) Original image

(b) $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ unsharp filter

(c) $S E(2)$ unsharp filter

Figure: Retinal image (a) sharpened using the classical unsharp filter overn ${ }^{2}$ (b) and using the proposed sharpening method (c).

## Retinal image enhancement through unsharp filtering


(a) Original image

(b) $C=0.5$

(c) $C=1$

(d) $C=1.5$

(e) $C=2$

Figure: The original image (a) is processed with diffusion under $\Delta_{\beta}=X_{1}^{2}+\beta X_{2}^{2}$ and sharpended with varying coefficients $C(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e})$

## WaxOn-WaxOff

- WaxOn: diffusion problem with $\Delta_{\beta}=X_{1}^{2}+\beta X_{2}^{2}$

■ WaxOff: inverse problem with $\Delta_{\beta}=X_{3}^{2}+\beta X_{2}^{2}$

(a) Original image

(b) $X_{1}^{2}+\beta X_{2}^{2}$ diffusion

(c) 1 cycle of

WaxOn-WaxOff

(d) 3 cycles of WaxOn-WaxOff

Figure: From (a) the CSB algorithm is applied to obtain (b). One iteration of WaxOn-WaxOff for small $T_{2}$ produces (c) while multiple iterations of WaxOn-WaxOff are sequentially applied to produce (d). Total diffusion timejn (b) and (d) is the same.
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## sR manifold

## Definition

A sub-Riemannian manifold is a triplet $(M, \mathcal{H}, g)$ with $M$ being a connected manifold, $\mathcal{H} \subset T M$ a linear subbundle and $g=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ a fiber-metric defined on on the subbundle $\mathcal{H}$.

We call $\mathcal{H} \subset T M$ in this definition the horizontal distribution. A sub-Riemannian manifold can be considered as a limiting case of a Riemannian manifold where the distances of vectors outside of $\mathcal{H}$ approach infinity. Curves $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow M$ with a finite length will then need to be a horizontal curve: an absolutely continuous curve satisfying $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)}$ for almost every $t$. For such a curve, we can define its length by

$$
\text { length }(\gamma)=\int_{a}^{b}\langle\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)\rangle^{1 / 2} d t
$$

## sR distance

We can then also introduce the corresponding sub-Riemannian distance by

In general, there might not be any curve connecting a point $x$ and $y$, meaning that the distance above will be infinite. It is therefore typical to require the horizontal bundle sub-Riemannian manifold to be bracket-generating

## Bracket generating distribution

$$
\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathcal{H}}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left[X_{i_{1}},\left[X_{i_{2}},\left[\cdots\left[X_{l=1}, X_{l}\right]\right] \cdots\right]\right] \mid X_{i_{j}} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{H}}, I=1,2,3, \ldots,\right\},
$$

where we interpret the case $I=1$ simply as the vector field $X_{i_{1}}$ itself. We then make the following definition.

## Definition

We say that $\mathcal{H}$ is bracket-generating if for every $x \in M$,

$$
T_{x} M=\left\{X(x): X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathcal{H}}\right\} .
$$

## Sub-Laplacian

Consider a second order operator $L$ on a manifold $M$, which in local coordinates

$$
L=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}},
$$

with $\left(a_{i j}(x)\right)$ being positive semi-definite with a constant rank $k$. Such an operator can locally be written as $L=\sum_{=1}^{k} X_{k}^{2}+X_{0}$. Define a sR structure on ( $\mathcal{H}, g$ ) on $M$ by making $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ into a local orthonormal basis. If $L$ is required to be symmetric, i.e. $\int_{M} f_{1}\left(L f_{2}\right) d \mu=\int_{M} f_{2}\left(L f_{1}\right) d \mu$ for any pair of smooth functions $f_{1}, f_{2} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$ of compact support, then the symmetric operator is unique with respect to a given volume density $d \mu$. We call this operators the sub-Laplacian of $(M, \mathcal{H}, g)$ and $d \mu$.

## Hypoellipticity of $\Delta_{\beta}$

## Theorem

Let $L$ be the sub-Laplacian of a sub-Riemannian structure ( $M, \mathcal{H}, g$ ) with volume element $d \mu$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is bracket-generating. Then $L$ and the heat operator $\partial_{t}-L$ are hypoelliptic. Furthermore, for the heat-semigroup $e^{t L}$, we have density

$$
e^{t L} f(x)=\int_{M} p_{t}(x, y) f(y) d \mu,
$$

where $p_{t}(x, y)$ is a smooth, strickly positive function that is symmetric in $x$ and $y$. Furthermore, we have short time asymptotics

$$
\lim _{t \downarrow 0} 2 t \log p_{t}(x, y)=d_{g}(x, y) .
$$

